



Credit Ratings & Research

# General Finance Limited

NZBN: 9429038072994

## Credit Rating Synopsis

**Date:** 18 February 2021

**Prepared for:** General Finance Limited

**Report prepared by:** Equifax Australasia Credit Ratings Pty Ltd ("Equifax")

**Primary Analyst:** Anuj Kindra, CFA

**Secondary Analyst:** Jay Mulafer, CPA

**Job Number:** 349418

**Currency used in this report:** This report is presented in New Zealand Dollars unless otherwise noted.



## Contents

Contents .....	2
1. Executive Summary.....	3
2. Scope of Report .....	5

## 1. Executive Summary

General Finance Limited ("GF", "the Company")		Risk Rating																																						
GF is a Non-Bank Deposit Taking (NBDT) organisation that is licenced by the Reserve Bank of New Zealand ('RBNZ') and domiciled in New Zealand. The Company offers secured loans and accepts customer deposits.		BB-																																						
Equifax has affirmed General Finance Limited's credit rating of 'BB-' at Sep20. Though pressures on the Company's earnings owing to COVID19 induced market challenges and competitive forces have increased, the outlook on the rating remains stable. The retention of the stable outlook is reflective of our expectation that continued steady growth in GF's scale will alleviate the current burden on earnings.		Outlook: Stable																																						
Overall, GF's credit rating benefits from its market position as a specialised bridging loans provider, its growing scale, sound capital and funding profile, robust asset quality metrics – mainly conservative Loan to Value Ratios (LVRs) and, its sound liquidity. The rating is constrained by increasing pressures on GF's profitability, broader business model risks - which include moderately high concentration, exposure to higher-risk borrowers and market risks, as well as scale-induced growth constraints.		Type: Public, Monitored																																						
<p><b>Strengths</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- GF is a specialist bridging loans provider catering to a market underserved by many industry competitors. The Company's scale has steadily grown in the recent past (total assets Sep20: \$51.5m, Mar20: \$47.8m, Mar19: \$20.2m), backed by an increase in customer deposits. While loan book growth was somewhat muted in the interim period (Sep20: \$32.2m, Mar20: \$35.0m) on account of management's measured approach in responding to external challenges induced by COVID19 – the loan book has expanded significantly in the post balance sheet period (Dec20: \$50.1m).</li> <li>- GF's asset quality and low impaired loan metrics are reflective of its conservative lending policies. At Sep20, the maximum loan-to-value ratio (LVR) on the Company loan book was at 75.4% (Mar20: 77.0%), while the weighted average loan book LVR remained relatively stable at 56.0% (Mar20: 58.5%). In our view, this affords the Company ample headroom to absorb a decline in collateral value in a market downturn. Furthermore, a significant portion of the loan book is collateralised by general use residential properties, which reduces the risk of loss upon a credit event occurrence as this asset class is prone to a lower degree of liquidity and market price haircut than some others.</li> <li>- A sound capital ratio of 16.3% at Dec20 (Sep20: 22.3%, Mar20: 19.6%) enables the Company to maintain adequate headroom above the regulatory minimum capital ratio threshold (8.0%). We expect GF's current capitalisation to remain adequate to support an anticipated growth of risk-weighted assets in the near-term.</li> <li>- GF's funding profile and liquidity are supported by its strong capacity to attract customer deposits. The increase in deposits (Sep20: \$45.0m, Mar20: \$41.5m Mar19: \$14.9m) has aided GF to consistently maintain a healthy and above-peer-average liquid asset position (Sep20: 27.6% of total assets, Mar20: 26.1%).</li> </ul> <p><b>Constraints</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A declining interest rate environment and increasing competitive pressures have significantly impacted GF's operating earnings. As a niche lender, the Company regularly enjoyed strong yields on its loan portfolio, however, these yields have trended lower (Sep20: 8.3%, Mar20: 9.3%) in the interim period. Growth in deposits and a decision to maintain elevated cash reserves to better respond to uncertainties of COVID-19, were also contributory factors to the deterioration in net interest margins (NIMs) (1HFY21: 1.5%, FY20: 2.5%, FY19: 3.4%). Combined with an initial contraction and slow recovery in loan-</li> </ul>		Industry Percentiles																																						
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>Scale:</th> <th>Percentile*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Assets</td> <td>8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gross Loans</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Profitability:</b></td></tr> <tr> <td>NIM</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ROE</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ROA</td> <td>25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Efficiency Ratio</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Capitalisation:</b></td></tr> <tr> <td>Leverage (Gross loans to Equity)</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capital Ratio</td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capital to Total Assets</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Funding and liquidity:</b></td></tr> <tr> <td>Deposits to Loan Ratio</td> <td>75%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Liquid Assets to Total Assets</td> <td>67%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Asset Quality:</b></td></tr> <tr> <td>Net Charge-offs</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Impaired Loans</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Provision for Loan Losses</td> <td>75%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*GF Sep20 results compared to the FY20 benchmark</p>		Scale:	Percentile*	Total Assets	8%	Gross Loans	42%	<b>Profitability:</b>		NIM	17%	ROE	42%	ROA	25%	Efficiency Ratio	17%	<b>Capitalisation:</b>		Leverage (Gross loans to Equity)	42%	Capital Ratio	92%	Capital to Total Assets	17%	<b>Funding and liquidity:</b>		Deposits to Loan Ratio	75%	Liquid Assets to Total Assets	67%	<b>Asset Quality:</b>		Net Charge-offs	100%	Impaired Loans	100%	Provision for Loan Losses	75%	Key Trends
Scale:	Percentile*																																							
Total Assets	8%																																							
Gross Loans	42%																																							
<b>Profitability:</b>																																								
NIM	17%																																							
ROE	42%																																							
ROA	25%																																							
Efficiency Ratio	17%																																							
<b>Capitalisation:</b>																																								
Leverage (Gross loans to Equity)	42%																																							
Capital Ratio	92%																																							
Capital to Total Assets	17%																																							
<b>Funding and liquidity:</b>																																								
Deposits to Loan Ratio	75%																																							
Liquid Assets to Total Assets	67%																																							
<b>Asset Quality:</b>																																								
Net Charge-offs	100%																																							
Impaired Loans	100%																																							
Provision for Loan Losses	75%																																							
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>Period</th> <th>Operating Income (Annualised) (\$ million)</th> <th>Net Interest Margin (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FY2017</td> <td>~0.8</td> <td>~4.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2018</td> <td>~1.0</td> <td>~4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2019</td> <td>~1.1</td> <td>~4.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2020</td> <td>~1.8</td> <td>~3.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sep20</td> <td>~1.8</td> <td>~3.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Period	Operating Income (Annualised) (\$ million)	Net Interest Margin (%)	FY2017	~0.8	~4.2	FY2018	~1.0	~4.8	FY2019	~1.1	~4.0	FY2020	~1.8	~3.5	Sep20	~1.8	~3.5	Operating Profit and NIM decline in the interim period																				
Period	Operating Income (Annualised) (\$ million)	Net Interest Margin (%)																																						
FY2017	~0.8	~4.2																																						
FY2018	~1.0	~4.8																																						
FY2019	~1.1	~4.0																																						
FY2020	~1.8	~3.5																																						
Sep20	~1.8	~3.5																																						
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>Period</th> <th>Liquid Assets/Total Assets (%)</th> <th>Capital Ratio (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FY2016</td> <td>~38.0</td> <td>~35.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2017</td> <td>~35.0</td> <td>~35.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2018</td> <td>~35.0</td> <td>~35.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2019</td> <td>~35.0</td> <td>~35.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FY2020</td> <td>~25.0</td> <td>~18.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sep20</td> <td>~27.0</td> <td>~22.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Period	Liquid Assets/Total Assets (%)	Capital Ratio (%)	FY2016	~38.0	~35.0	FY2017	~35.0	~35.0	FY2018	~35.0	~35.0	FY2019	~35.0	~35.0	FY2020	~25.0	~18.0	Sep20	~27.0	~22.0	Stable outlook continues to be supported by Capital and Liquidity																	
Period	Liquid Assets/Total Assets (%)	Capital Ratio (%)																																						
FY2016	~38.0	~35.0																																						
FY2017	~35.0	~35.0																																						
FY2018	~35.0	~35.0																																						
FY2019	~35.0	~35.0																																						
FY2020	~25.0	~18.0																																						
Sep20	~27.0	~22.0																																						

book, these lower NIMs meant the Company's earnings were thin for a few months in the current year.

- Excessive availability of low-cost funds in the market has amplified price-competition for high quality exposures. As virus containment measures resulted in a slowdown of activity in April – May 2020, GF adopted a cautious approach to new lending and renewal of existing loans in this period. While the gross loan book recovered to \$32.2m at Sep20 (and \$50.1m by Dec20), after an initial decline to \$25.3m in Jun20 (Mar20: \$35.0m), cognizant of the market, GF disbursed a sizeable portion of loans at lower interest rates and longer duration than historical levels. As a result, the duration gap between GF's customer deposits and loans has turned negative recently. This is expected to be positive from an earnings perspective in the medium term, but will reduce the liquidity cushion available to the Company over long term, in our view.

- Higher business model risks compared to traditional banks and large non-bank financial institutions constrain GF's rating. The Company's focus on collateral based lending exposes it to a higher degree of market and credit risk, as recurring earnings of the borrower are not the primary source of the loan's principal repayments. Moreover, a major portion of GF's loan-book comprises interest only or interest capitalised advances to borrowers. As such, adverse developments in real estate markets, have the potential to expose the Company to an increase in credit and/or liquidity risks, and a reduced collateral cover. Furthermore, despite the recent increase in scale, the Company's exposure to individually significant loans has continued to rise as the top-six loans accounted for 191% of its total capital base (Mar20: 131%) and 29.9% of the total loan book at Sep20 (Mar20: 21.9%).

- A recent change in GF's liquidity management strategy is credit negative, in our view. In Aug20, the Company updated its risk mandate to include investments in NZDX listed investment grade bonds as permitted use of excess cash liquidity, in addition to previously approved deposits with registered New Zealand banks. Investing in long term bonds exposes the Company to increased market risks through yield movements and does not bode well with the business's core strategy to raise and deploy short-term funds. During the current period, GF invested \$4.8m (or 9.4% of total assets) in NZDX listed, investment grade bonds, which was subsequently impacted by adverse market movements. We note, the Company has since reviewed the strategy and exited some of these holdings (Dec20: \$1.8m or 2.9% of assets).

- GF's growth plans and scalability are moderately constrained by its reliance on key executives' expertise and its operational capacity. While the growth in the loan book would likely result in improved profitability, additional headcount may be required to tend to a larger portfolio. Furthermore, an absence of formal financial and qualitative metrics for loan approval mean GF has a high reliance on its key executives' expertise for loan origination and approval, thereby exposing it to keyperson and business continuity risks.

The outlook for the rating is currently stable and a rating upgrade is unlikely in the near term. An upgrade would require a collective improvement in scale and profitability while maintaining healthy capitalisation and liquidity, and the introduction and implementation of more prescriptive credit and risk management policies.

The rating may be revised downward if the above-mentioned forces continue to weigh on GF's earnings and its asset quality, capital ratio or liquidity metrics materially deteriorate, on an individual or a collective basis.

## 2. Scope of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide a Credit Rating Synopsis on General Finance Limited ("GF", "the Company").

We have complied with our rating services guidelines in order to derive the credit rating on General Finance Limited. The credit ratings and observations contained herein are solely statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold or sell any securities or make any other investment decisions. The details pertaining to this report are outlined below:

<b>Report Details</b>	
Date of Report	<b>18 February 2021</b>
Request Type	<b>Issuer</b>
Assessment Type	<b>Under Ongoing Monitoring</b>
Rating Initiation	<b>Issuer based (solicited)</b>
Rating Distribution	<b>Public Rating</b>
Report Distribution	<b>Unrestricted</b>
Purchased by	<b>General Finance Limited</b>
Report Fee	<b>Fixed Price</b>
Ancillary fees	<b>Nil</b>
Issuer Name	<b>General Finance Limited</b>
Issue Name	<b>Not Applicable</b>
Issuer First Time Rated	<b>No</b>
Issue First Time Rated	<b>Not Applicable</b>
Financial Scope	<b>Standalone Entity</b>
Structure	<b>Limited Company</b>
Industry	<b>Financial Services</b>

This report should be read within the context of Corporate Scorecard's Rating Services Guide. This Report should be taken as a whole and cannot be abridged or excerpted for any reason.

We have conducted this assessment on the basis of the information provided to us by General Finance Limited, publicly available information and from our own enquiries. We have derived a credit rating on the Company based on the understanding that General Finance Limited has no contingent liabilities, cross guarantees or other liabilities to any other entity other than as disclosed to us or as detailed in the financial statements. Our duty does not include auditing the financial statements.

## Information Sources

Financial statements	<b>Audited Interim Financial Statements for the 6-month period ended 30 September 2020.</b> <b>Audited Financial Statements for the years ended 31 March 2020, 2019 and 2018.</b>
Name of auditor	<b>Baker Tilly Staples Rodway Auckland</b>
Other Information Sources	<b>The Company's response to our Request for Information, the Company website, industry and regulatory websites, management interviews, media articles, adverse searches and internet searches.</b>
Subject participation	<b>Yes</b>
Material financial adjustments	<b>None</b>
Limitations of assessment	<b>None noted</b>
Outsourced rating activities	<b>No</b>
Confidentiality agreement	<b>No</b>
Material client	<b>No</b>
Rating amended post issuer disclosure	<b>No</b>
Potential conflict of interest	<b>GF is also a user of other Equifax products which are procured on commercial terms.</b>
Rating methodology	<b>Financial Institution Rating Criteria</b>

This report should be read within the context of Equifax's Ratings Services Guide.

## APPENDICES

### 1. Explanation of the Equifax's credit rating

#### 1.1 What is a rating?

Credit ratings provide an Agency's opinion as to the capacity, viability and willingness of an entity, issuer, or counterparty to meet their respective financial commitments. As such, Equifax assigns ratings based on the credit worthiness of an entity, commitment or product, and provides probabilistic assessments of default over the short, medium and long-term.

Credit ratings are a critical measure used extensively in commercial, financial and capital markets to support key business decisions. Equifax's credit ratings are used to support debt and bonding decisions, loan origination and recovery, insurance and warranty, funds management, portfolio management, tendering and procurement, counterparty risk assessments and other commercial contracts.

Equifax provides credit ratings on government and commercial agencies, international conglomerates, infrastructure consortia, financial institutions, publicly listed entities, private corporations and small-to-medium sized enterprises across a range of industry sectors both domestically and internationally. As such, Equifax is also able to provide detailed industry intelligence, benchmarking reports and analysis across a wide range of sectors.

#### 1.2 Equifax's credit rating

Equifax and other credit rating agencies all attempt to measure the probability of an entity being able to honour its financial commitments as and when they fall due. The most recognised credit rating is that based on Bond Rating Equivalents (BRE) used over the past eighty years to determine the proximity of an entity's securities to default (non-payment of interest or principal). The accuracy of this method has been extensively tested and is accepted worldwide.

The Equifax's database contains more than 100,000 financial years of information spanning more than twenty-five years. As such Equifax is in a unique position, having developed a large and empirical data source on entities across various industry sectors with long data histories covering a range of economic conditions and one or more complete business cycles. Equifax has therefore been able to use a variety of quantitative validation tools and comparisons using this information to adequately verify the stability, accuracy and consistency of its rating models.

Equifax's rating models have been designed to assess the proximity of an entity to defaulting on its financial commitments and obligations. Proprietary risk analytics are used to evaluate the multivariate interrelationship of key risk indicators using scientifically based and empirically derived risk metrics. These models evaluate the financial performance, position and profile of an entity in the context of its industry, size and structure. They have been validated on Australian and international data with the assistance of Professor Edward Altman, an internationally recognised leader in the field of credit risk analysis and bankruptcy prediction.

Equifax uses its comprehensive benchmarking database to evaluate the financial position, performance and credit quality of an agency, institution, corporation or entity relative to an industry and its peers. This enables the identification of key sensitivities, trends, cautionary alerts and exception reports based on identified anomalies and/or outliers across key credit indicators of a select benchmarking group.

While there is no single method to discriminate unambiguously between firms that will default and those that will not, Equifax can make probabilistic assessments of default. This requires a large database of actual defaults to enable an assessment of default probabilities and actual default rates from empirical evidence. The Australasian market has a comparatively small number of corporate bond issues and a relatively benign credit climate over recent decades, and as such empirical data on Australian default rates is limited. Therefore, Equifax considers it is more appropriate to apply default probabilities using empirical data from international markets over several economic cycles.

Equifax's default statistics have been derived from nearly twenty years' experience analysing mainly US non-financial, non-utility corporate bond issuers. The analysis covered a relatively large number of companies (approximately 1,000 rated each year) and follows the well-established static pool approach used by Credit Rating Agencies to report their default experience. Static pools were created for bond issuers each year by both notch and grade, and the history of these bond issuers was then analysed over the period. The pools were then combined so that long-term average default experience by duration could be calculated, and both annual and cumulative default experience was calculated from the pools.

Equifax's risk analytics enable analysts to evaluate the most critical and sensitive financial and risk items through the Risk Assessment Platform by analysing key indicators to derive a definitive credit risk score and Bond Rating Equivalent (BRE), providing Probabilities of Default (PoD) over the short-, medium- and long-term horizon.

### 1.3 Rating Definitions

Credit ratings provide an Agency's opinion as to the capacity, viability and willingness of an entity to meet their respective financial and contractual commitments. As such credit ratings are assigned in accordance with the entity, commitment or product's proximity to default. Equifax adheres to internationally recognised grades and are similar to other agency classifications, providing ratings across twenty-two credit notches from 'D' (in default) to 'AAA' (extremely strong).

Moody's	Fitch	S&P	Rating	Default rates (5 years)	Classification	Risk Level
Aaa	AAA	AAA	AAA	0.17	High Grade	Negligible
Aa1	AA+	AA+	AA+	0.31		
Aa2	AA	AA	AA	0.44		
Aa3	AA-	AA-	AA-	0.55		
A1	A+	A+	A+	0.76	Investment Grade	Very Low
A2	A	A	A	0.81		
A3	A-	A-	A-	1.47		
Baa1	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	2.08		
Baa2	BBB	BBB	BBB	3.19	Near Prime	Low
Baa3	BBB-	BBB-	BBB-	4.37		
Ba1	BB+	BB+	BB+	7.13		
Ba2	BB	BB	BB	7.49	Sub Prime	Low to Moderate
Ba3	BB-	BB-	BB-	10.52		
B1	B+	B+	B+	16.34		
B2	B	B	B	22.21	Credit Watch	Moderate
B3	B-	B-	B-	24.16		
Caa1	CCC	CCC+	CCC+	28.16		
Caa2		CCC	CCC	29.90		Very High
Caa3		CCC-	CCC-	39.16		
Ca		CC	CC	52.87	Distressed	Extremely High
C	D	D	D	100.00		

Equifax assigns ratings based on the credit worthiness of an entity or a specific financial commitment, and provides probabilistic assessments of default over the short, medium and long-term. Every entity or commitment has some probability of default over a period of time, even those assigned with the strongest of ratings. An Investment Grade classification is attributed to credits that exhibit a lower probability of default, while a Sub-Prime classification has a greater expectancy of default.

An Equifax's credit rating may also be assigned additional clarification markers (symbols) to qualify the credit risk assessment. These may include:

#### **Conditional Rating (#)**

A Conditional Rating is used where Equifax has rated an entity on the basis of significant risk factors and/or report qualifications, with recommendations providing one or more conditions precedent and/or mitigation action(s) to reduce identified uncertainty and risk.

#### **Provisional Rating (\*)**

A Provisional Rating is used when the most recent financial figures are based on draft management accounts or are deemed out-of-date. Entities with a provisional rating should be re-evaluated as soon as finalised financial statements become available.

#### **Indicative Rating (^)**

An Indicative Rating is used where Equifax is engaged to conduct preliminary analysis only, and as such an official rating assignment would require a more detailed and comprehensive investigation and due diligence assessment prior to the provision of our professional opinion.

## 1.4 Rating Outlooks

Equifax's forward estimates help ascertain the trajectory of ratings as well as the risks to ratings. Ratings with a positive trajectory are assigned 'Positive Outlooks'. Ratings with a negative trajectory are assigned 'Negative Outlooks'. Where Ratings are expected to remain unchanged, a 'Stable Outlook' assigned.

Rating trajectories are closely related to the outlook for the corporate's earnings. Earnings growth that is within sustainable growth parameters together with an attenuation of earnings volatility provide upward rating pressure and so may warrant the assignment of a Positive Outlook.

## Credit Concepts measured

The main credit concepts measured against Australian and New Zealand Standard Industry Classifications (ANZSIC) and specific Peer Groups based on entity size are available in Corporate Scorecard's Rating methodology, which can be found at the below-mentioned links

[http://corporatescorecard.com.au/services\\_credit\\_ratings.php](http://corporatescorecard.com.au/services_credit_ratings.php)

<http://corporatescorecard.com.au/docs/RatingMethodologyFinancialInstitutionRatingCriteria.pdf>

## 2. Regulatory Disclosures and Disclaimer

Equifax Australasia Credit Ratings Pty Ltd (Equifax Credit Ratings) is a credit rating agency regulated by the Reserve Bank of New Zealand. The licensing regime addresses a range of matters including the quality and integrity of the ratings process, independence and avoidance of conflict of interest, and responsibilities to the public, clients and assessed entities. The regime also covers confidentiality, communication and disclosure, professional development, document management, and a range of governance related matters. Financial, operational and compliance audits are conducted by external, independent auditors each year.

Equifax Credit Ratings also holds an Australian Financial Services License (AFS License no. 341391) which licenses it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients in Australia.

The credit rating issued by Equifax Credit Ratings reflects our current opinion of the relative credit risk of the institution. This opinion has been formed in accordance with Equifax's published credit ratings methodology - financial institution rating criteria (version 7, 2020).

<https://www.corporatescorecard.co.nz/docs/RatingMethodologyFinancial.pdf>

The credit rating and associated assessments, opinions and observations are solely statements of opinion. They are not statements of fact. They do not constitute advice or a recommendation. The credit rating does not guarantee the performance of the rated issuer or relevant security, and should not be relied on for the purposes of making an investment decision. All information used in the credit rating process is obtained by Equifax from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Equifax adopts all necessary measures so the information used in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources believed to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, because of the existence of human or system error, or other factors, all information contained herein is provided 'as is' without warranty of any kind. Equifax is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Use of information contained in this report is at the recipients own risk. To the extent permitted by law, Equifax, its directors, officers, employees and any persons associated with the preparation of the release and our full report are not liable to any person in respect of anything (or the consequences of anything) done or omitted to be done by any person in reliance on any of the contents of the release or our full report; and are not responsible for any errors or omissions in the release or our full report resulting from any inaccuracy, mis-description or incompleteness of the information provided or from assumptions made or opinions reached by the parties providing the Information. All information contained herein is protected by law, including but not limited to copyright law, and this information may not be copied or otherwise reproduced, repackaged, further transmitted,

transferred, disseminated, redistributed or resold, or stored for subsequent use for any such purpose, in whole or in part, in any form or manner or by any means whatsoever, by any person without Equifax's prior written consent.

**For Australia only:** Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of Equifax Australasia Credit Ratings Pty Ltd [AFSL #341391]. This document is intended to be provided only to 'wholesale clients' within the meaning provided by the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to Equifax that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a 'wholesale client' and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to 'retail clients' within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Equifax's credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of an issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be inappropriate for 'retail clients' to make any investment decision based on Equifax's credit rating, and Equifax recommends you consult with your financial or other professional adviser.

Please refer to [http://corporatescorecard.com.au/services\\_credit\\_ratings.php](http://corporatescorecard.com.au/services_credit_ratings.php) for further information and additional regulatory disclosures, including our code of conduct, published ratings, criteria and methodologies.